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## MC6 - MC7 simulation for Time-of-Flight LAPPD detectors

## 1 Introduction

Goal of Large Area Picosecond Photodetector (LAPPD) project, headed by University of Chicago, is manufacturing a $\sim 1 \mathrm{ps}$ time resolution photodetector.
Key elements of design are:

- Fast source: a psec source of many photons (e.g. Cherenkov light from a charged particle traversing radiator or the entrance window of a photodetector);
- Glass body and minimal feedthroughs;
- Micro channel plates (MCPs) made using atomic layer deposition;
- A psec-level pixel-size (10-20 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ pores in MCP);
- Transmission line anode;
- Fast and economical front-end electronics;
- Large area, flat panel photocathode.
- High gain: it has to be high enough that a single photon triggers, i.e: the first photon "in" determines the leading edge of the pulse and consequently the timing.

Applications are several, such as Time of Flight mass spectrometry, tracking, medical imaging, etc.
The reason of this work comes from the necessity of a precise knowledge of the beam where Time-of-Flight LAPPD will be tested, in order to push its properties at the best. Tests will take place in MC7 experimental area, hence MC6 and MC7 simulations become necessary.


Figure 1: LAPPD layout and schematic.

## 2 Beam Description

Fermilab Test Beam Facility receives beam from Main Injector in 4.2 -second spills, with approximately 60 seconds of repetition rate. Spills are made up of batches: 7 batches cover $11.2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (size of Main Injector) and every batch is composed by 84 RF buckets (size of booster). Normally, only the first batch over 7 has particles, and every bucket contains a single particle. In this way, particles in the same spill are temporally separated by 19 ns . The beam is transferred till M02 enclosure: it's then split in two beams so as to deliver particles to both MTest and Mcenter.
MCenter is made up of different areas. Of our interest are MC6 (the last beam transfer line), and MC7 (the experimental area).


7 Batches $=1 \mathrm{MI}$ Cycle $=11.2$ microSec


Figure 2: Beam Structure and Beam Delivery Path


Figure 3: Test Beam Facility map

### 2.1 MC6

$120 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ proton beam reaches MC6, bent over of 1.31 degree compared to the floor, and hits a copper target ( 5 mm wide, 5 mm high, 200 mm long). Then, protons and interaction products are guided along the beam line. The entire length is $\sim 56 \mathrm{~m}$ and it is composed by an ion chamber, the target, four absobers, six quadrupoles, four dipoles, two trim magnets couples, one momentum collimator, as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4: MC6 schematic structure
Selecting dipoles' field and quadrupoles' gradient, one can select mean momentum of particle reaching the end of the path, dumping the rest of the beam (mostly high energetic protons) on the momentum collimator. Hence, MC6 provides a low-intenisty beam, of a chosen mean momentum, parallel to the floor, thanks to bending dipoles.
Four $E P B$ dipoles (oultlines in Figure 5) are all fed by the same power supply, indeed they provide the same field, according to the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M C 6 D=\frac{\text { Momentum }}{40} \cdot 282,7 \cdot \text { Transfer } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where MC6D is the field in dipoles (expressed in Tesla), Momentum is the desiderd momentum (expressed in $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ), Transfer is the value of the conversion from current delivered by the power supply to the field and its value is $0.0008886256 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{A}$.
Quadrupoles are fed independently; gradients (expressed in $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{m}$ ) are obtained linearly scaling values provided by Main Control Room, referring to $80 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ setup. There are two different kind of quadrupoles in MC6: 3Q120 and 4Q120 (outlines in Figure 5). They differ each other for coil aperture, transfer value, hence maximum field value.
Trim magnets are Vernier dipoles; smaller than others magnets, they are usually off or with a very low intense field. Combined with momentum collimator, they contribute to momentum selection precision.
Collimators are concrete blocks, divided by aluminium layers, where apertures are.
First six magnets are equipped with vacuum system, all the rest of MC6 and MC7 is located in air.
An ion chamber positioned before the target and a counter downstream the whole line provide the ratio particles downstream / particles downstream (see Figure 6).


Figure 5: Clockwise from up left: $3 Q$ Quadrupole, $4 Q$ Quadrupole, EPB Dipole


Figure 6: Counting Ratio; Average value: $\sim 0.05 \%$

## $2.2 \quad \mathrm{MC} 7$

MC7 is divided in two experimental areas, ending with the beam dump. It is equipped with calibrated instrumentations (counters, Cherenkov detectors), patch panels and inert gas capabilities. In this case, MC7 is made up of some detectors, a copper target (approximately 6 m downstream the last MC6 quad, behind an absorber) and a collimator.
LAPPD Time of Flight setup will be straight after the collimator, in the beam direction, so as to take advantage of the shielding effect. Collimator's aperture directs the beam toward LArIAT setup, which LAPPD will work to parasitically.
The beam hitting the target has a mean momentum as selected by MC6 dipoles, and a species composition varying with energy. Ratio of species is shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7: Particle Composition

Depending on energy, few particles overcome the collimator in beam direction; they are mostly $\mu+$. Furthermore, a large area is invested by a $\mu+$ plume coming from the primary target.

## 3 G4beamline code

G4beamline is a particle tracking and simulation program based on the Geant4 toolkit that is specifically designed to easily simulate beamlines and other systems. The basic structure of a G4beamline simulation is to first define beamline elements (magnets, beam pipes, etc.), including their geometry, materials, field, etc., and then to place them into the world, usually along the beam direction. The tracking of particles through the simulated system is as accurate and realistic as the Geant4 toolkit implements. The input file selects from any of the Geant 4 physics lists, and can set values for the various Geant 4 tracking-accuracy parameters. [1]

### 3.1 What it does

MC6 - MC7 simulation code provides tracking of $120 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ protons shot 200 mm before the primary target, bended of 1.31 degree compared to the ground. It runs event by event, considering a gaussian particle distribution of the beam. Simulated elements strictly comply to real ones referring to outlines, materials, field, beam environment, relative distances. VirtualDetectors are located along the line in order to collect data about particles reaching a precise area.
G4beamline provides either visualization of simulated beamline up to ninety-nine events (see Figures 8,9 ) or a ROOT file containing Ntuples referring to every VirtualDetectors.


Figure 8: MC6 visualization.


Figure 9: MC7 visualization.

### 3.1.1 Input

Many parameters can be modified in the code, as well as elements' geometry and materials. Lists of command and details can be found in G4beamline help. The followings are relevant for studying beam properties in experimental areas and analyzing data (Figure 10):

- physics defines the properties of the "world" where simulation takes place. QGS_PBIC list provides useful mechanisms such as multiple scattering, Cherenkov radiation, etc.;
- worldMaterial sets material where the beam goes through. In MC6 and MC7 the beam runs mostly in air (AIR command);
- histoFile sets the name of the ROOT file that will be generated in the same directory where the code is;
- $p$, expressed in $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, selects the desired momentum of particles reaching the end of the line: it automatically sets fields and gradients;
- beam defines properties of simulated beam:
- gaussian sets a gaussian spatial distribution of the beam;
- particle defines the kind of particle: in MC6, proton is the starting particle;
- nEvents sets the number of event running in non-visualization mode;
$-x, y, z$ set the starting point of the beam;
- meanMomentum sets the mean momentum of particles, expressed in $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$;
- sigmaX, sigma $Y$, sigma $Z$ are standard deviations of spatial distributions;
- sigmaP: momentum dispersion of the beam expressed in $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$;
- rotation sets the angle of bending of the beam referring to reference trajectory.
- trackcuts keep sets particles that will be tracked in simulation: other particles will be killed after generation. A compromise between number of species considered and time of running must be found;
- virtualdetector Det defines detectors that will collect simulated data: one can set width, height, length, etc. In the code, detectors are settled using the command place Det in the position set by $x, y, z$; Ntuple will have the name provided with rename command.
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1\# MC6 - MC7 simulat
1\# MC6 - MC7 simulat
MC6 - MC7 simulation
Remember, lengths are in mm, fields is Testa : Momentum in Mev
MC6 - MC7 simulation
Remember, lengths are in mm, fields is Testa : Momentum in Mev
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Figure 10: Input code.

### 3.1.2 Output

Visualization mode provides a 3D graphic view of the beam line, up to ninety-nine events. It's possible to zoom and rotate simulation. This mode is fundamental building simulation's geometry, and very useful for checking optics of most of the beam; on the other hand, rare events or low-intensity beam are difficult to be seen.
Non-visualization mode runs the number of event set by nevents command. Every NTuple in the ROOT file correspond to a VirtualDetector and contains the following data for every particle hitting its volume:

- Ptot, Px, Py, Pz: the momentum of the track in the selected coordinates, in $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$;
- $x, y, z$ : the position of the track, in the selected coordinates, in millimiters;
- $t$ : the global time of the track, in nanoseconds;
- PDGid; the ID of the particle, using the assigne value from the Particle Data Group; useful values are:
- 2212: proton;
- 312: K+;
- 221: $\pi+$;
- 22: $\gamma$;
- 11: e-;
- -13: $\mu+$.
- EventID: the event number.


### 3.2 Where to find it

MC6 and MC7 simulation code will be available at Fermilab Test Beam Facility (coordinator:
Mandy Rominky, rominsky@fnal.gov) and on LAPPD project website (https://psec.uchicago.edu).

## 4 Analysis tools

### 4.1 HistoRoot

HistoRoot is a ROOT-based histogramming program, available from http://historoot.muonsinc.com, which can generate histograms in a very intuitive manner from ROOT files, via a graphical user interface (Figure 11).


Figure 11: HistoRoot interface.

Selecting one or more NTuples, and values of interest, HistoRoot generates a plot. The tool has some limitation in use and combination of NTuple (e.g: it is not possible to calculate the time of flight of a track between two Virtualdetector).

### 4.2 PyRoot code

PyRoot analysis code simply opens the selected ROOT file, and extracts data from desired Ntuples. Output of the code is a plot of spatial distribution of particles (divided by species) on the surface of detector and $\beta \pm \Delta \beta$ plot for particles hitting two selected Detectors (the
code considers only same-EventID particles).
Output on shell shows for every event considered:

- PDGid;
- Time of flight, expressed in seconds;
- Total momentum, expressed in $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$
- $\beta$ value;
- $\delta \beta$ value, considering error on data $d x, d y, d z$ (meters), $d t$ (seconds).
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Figure 12: PyRoot analysis code and shell output

## 5 Theroretical Time of Flight study

Measuring Time of Flight of a particle between two detectors allows calculating $\beta$ value and hence, knowing the energy, rest mass of the particle.
This technique is very useful for resolving different species of particles coming from the same beam, or from cosmic rays. In this kind of measurements, time and spatial resolution become critical, as they affect the error on $\beta$ and, finally, on the capability of solving different particles. Ultra-fast Time-of-Flight Large Area Picosecond PhotoDetector (LAPPD) aims to reach a time resolution of 1 ps , and a $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spatial resolution. This precision will allow to solve species above $\sim 2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. Enhance, it would be a very useful upgrade in experiments at colliders or on cosmic rays stands.
Three different couples of species have been studied for the time-of-flight study because of their relevance: $\pi+/ \mu+, \pi+/ \mathrm{K}+, \pi$ - $/ \mathrm{e}-$.
The first one is not a real issue in experimental physics, but it is the easiest to be verified by G4bl simulation.
In Figure 13 are shown time separation, depending on momenta, for some distances, calculated considering particles going through vacuum along a straight trajectory.
Dashed red lines on plot indicate the 1 ps time resolution threshold, under which is not possible to solve species. As one can deduce from plots, a shorter distance than 5 m would be probably not enough for solving some of considered couples (especially $\pi+/ \mu+$ ).


Figure 13: From the top: $\pi+/ \mu+, \pi+/ \mathrm{K}+, \pi$-/e- time separation plots.

## 6 Simulation results

Complete MC6 - MC7 simulations have been run positioning one VirtualDetector Det1 at the end of the last MC6 quadrupole, one before the secondary target (Det2), and six VirtualDetectors in MC7 for analyzing different distance combinations: the first is set right after the collimator; other five are respectively $5,7.5,10,15,20$ meters apart from the first one.
Simulations have been run for different selected momentum values: 1, 8, 16, 32, 64, 80 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$.
In order to have a good statistics, avoiding very long simulation running time, the following method has been adopted:

- Run a 2-million event simulation;
- Analyze characteristics of species passing through Det1 and Det2: number of particles, spatial distribution, momentum distribution, etc.;
- Calculate number of events for a spill (500 millions particles at the beginning of the line);
- Run a MC7 simulation for every species, using characteristics extrapolated before;
- Analyze results for Time of Flight.


### 6.1 VirtualDetector Det1

VirtualDetector Det1 is positioned at the end of the last MC6 quadrupole. The first check operated on every simulation refers to the ratio of particle reaching this point (cf. Section 2.1). This checking allows to consider simulations precise and reliable. As shown in Figure 14, the ratio particles over MC6 / particles hitting the primary target is reasonably close to real data ratio.


Figure 14: Intensity ratio for different selected momenta.

Then, for every simulation analysis focused on species and momenta of particle hitting the detector (whose surface is $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ). From plots shown in Figure 15, one can extrapolate that selecting momentum implies selecting species: in fact, at high energy the prevailing species is protons, while at lower energy $\mu+$ and $\pi+$ become predominant.


Figure 15: Top: $64 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration; Bottom: $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration
Furthermore, plots in Figure 16 show particles' momenta, verifying the impact of changing fields in the momentum selection.


Ptot vs. PDGid


Figure 16: Top: $64 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration; Bottom: $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration

### 6.2 VirtaulDetector TOF1

The same kind of analysis can be conducted on the first Time of Flight detector, positioned 25 cm downstream MC7 collimator. It provides information on intensity and momentum of the beam, and on particle species overcoming the collimator.


Figure 17: Top: $64 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration; Bottom: $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ configuration
As shown in Figure 17, in $64 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ different species overcome the collimator, while in $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ setup, few particles but muons reach Time of Flight stand. Both configuration are useful in order to test LAPPDs: low energy beam provide a "clean" beam, giving the possibility of focusing on energy resolution; higher energies, on the other hand, supply a good environment for testing species separation.

### 6.3 Time of Flight VirtualDetectors

Simulations provide data on all particles reaching a VirtualDetector. Because of intrinsic divergence of the beam, and of the possible scattering (beam goes through air), intensity decrease with the distance; moreover, some particles not hitting the first Time of Flight are scattered again in the beam line, crossing one of the following detectors. For these reasons, analysis PyRoot code provide analysis on events hitting consequentially two selected Time of Flight VirtualDetectors.
Working with $\beta$ is more appropriate, rather than time, as it takes into account distance and spatial resolution. The error $\delta \beta$ is calculated by the program, propagating error on measurements $(7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $x$ and $y$ direction, 8 mm for longitudinal direction, 1 ps for time resolution), and it is settled round $5 \mathrm{e}-5 . \quad \beta$ plots for different energies and distances are shown below: every bin sits in $\delta \beta$ value.

### 6.3.1 $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ setup



Figure 18: Counterclockwise from top left: $5 \mathrm{~m}, 7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (first two detectors), 7.5 m (first and third detector), 15 m

|  | $\mu+$ |  | $\pi+$ |  | p |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $P_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ |
| $5 m$ | 479 | $11.9 \pm 0.2$ | 14 | $11 \pm 1$ | 2 | $0.99 \mathrm{e}-3 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| $7.5 m(I)$ | 326 | $13.0 \pm 0.3$ | 9 | $12 \pm 2$ | 1 | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| $7.5 m(I I)$ | 144 | $13.3 \pm 0.4$ | 6 | $10 \pm 3$ | 0 | $/$ |
| $15 m$ | 140 | $13.5 \pm 0.4$ | 3 | $15 \pm 4$ | 0 | $/$ |

Table 1

### 6.3.2 $16 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ setup



Figure 19: Counterclockwise from top left: $5 \mathrm{~m}, 7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (first two detectors), 7.5 m (first and third detector), 15 m

|  | $\mu+$ |  | $\pi+$ |  | p |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ |
| $5 m$ | 87 | $12.7 \pm 0.3$ | 10 | $8 \pm 2$ | 1 | $0.99 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| $7.5 m(I)$ | 55 | $12.9 \pm 0.4$ | 7 | $9 \pm 3$ | 1 | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| $7.5 m(I I)$ | 18 | $14.2 \pm 0.6$ | 2 | $10 \pm 3$ | 0 | $/$ |
| $15 m$ | 18 | $14.2 \pm 0.6$ | 3 | $9 \pm 5$ | 0 | $/$ |

Table 2

### 6.3.3 $64 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ setup



Figure 20: Counterclockwise from top left: $5 \mathrm{~m}, 7.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (first two detectors), 7.5 m (first and third detector), 15 m

|  | $\mu+$ |  |  | $\pi+$ |  | p |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ | $\#$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]$ |  |
| $5 m$ | 239 | $28.2 \pm 0.9$ | 147 | $20 \pm 2$ | 18 | $1.08 \mathrm{e}-3 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |
| $7.5 m(I)$ | 128 | $25.7 \pm 0.4$ | 92 | $25 \pm 2$ | 8 | $1.00 \mathrm{e}-3 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |
| $7.5 m(I I)$ | 42 | $32 \pm 2$ | 21 | $44 \pm 5$ | 1 | $0.67 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |
| $15 m$ | 42 | $31 \pm 2$ | 42 | $29.2 \pm 0.3$ | 3 | $0.9 \mathrm{e}-3 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |

Table 3

## 7 Conclusions

LAPPD Time-of-Flight will be tested in Fermilab Test Beam Facility MC7 experimental area, for proving time resolution properties. MCenter provides a low-intense beam, of variable momentum between 8 and $66 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. Because LAPPD setup will work parasitically to LArIAT experiment, it will be provided of a low energetic beam. Moreover, thanks to the positioning downstream the collimator, it will receive a quite "clean" muon beam.
Time-of-Flight setup will be made up of three devices; the first will be positioned as close as possible to the collimator, considering the presence of LArIAT devices. As shown in previous sections, relative distances among Time-of-Flight detectors are to be studied considering time, and hence energy, resolution.
Since the beam composition and properties, and MC7 structure, the best placement will be 7.5 m of distance between detectors. In this way, it will be possible to study results of three configurations:

1. 7.5 m (first and second detectors);
2. 15 m (second and third detectors), for a better energy resolution;
3. 7.5 m (second and third detectors): since it sits 7.5 m farer from the collimator respect to the first configuration, it will receive an even less intense beam composed by almost only muons.

Hence, the combination of these will give a complete and precise results on characteristic and capability of the device.
In order to exploiting simulation at the best, it is suggested the use of a complete high-number-of-event simulation using the Grid computing center or other similar clusters.

## References

[1] Tom Roberts. G4beamline User's Guide 2.16. December 2013.

